

# SDG Impact Standards for Private Equity Funds

## Guiding Questions – Second Public Consultation

### The Standards

- Are the Standards clear, comprehensive and implementable?
- Have the key elements of market leading impact measurement and management practice been captured? If no, what's missing?
- Do the Practice Indicators strike the right balance between being sufficiently robust on the one hand and accessible on the other?
- Has the right balance been struck between the core and expanded Practice Indicators?
- Is the guidance on evidencing the Practice Indicators clearly defined and evidence-able? If no, how can it be improved?
- To be eligible to apply for the Seal, should any of the expanded Practice Indicators be mandatory or assessed as “developed” rather than “developing”?
- What factors will influence adoption of the Standards?
- In your view, what is the most important contribution the Standards can make?
- Are there other frameworks or principles (other than the ones already listed) that you believe the Standards should align with?

### Assurers

- Do you foresee any difficulties assuring against this Standard? and,
- If so, how might this be addressed?
- Is there anything missing from the examples of sources of evidence that you would like to see included?

### Guidance notes and resources

- What other guidance and resources would you find useful to be included?